



# राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश

## हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य शासन द्वारा प्रकाशित

वीरवार, 17 अगस्त, 2017 / 26 श्रावण, 1939

हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार

सूचना एवं जन सम्पर्क विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला-171002, 11 अगस्त, 2017

संख्या: पब-बी(2)-1/98-II.—हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, निःशक्त व्यक्ति अधिकार अधिनियम, 2016 (2016 का 49) की धारा 34 के परन्तुक के अधीन प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, आयुक्त

(निःशक्तता), के परामर्श से, सूचना एवं जन सम्पर्क विभाग में चिन्हित (बेन्च मार्क) निःशक्तताओं वाले व्यक्तियों के लिए चार प्रतिशत आरक्षण से निम्नलिखित पदों को छूट प्रदान करते हैं; अर्थात्:-

1. जिला लोक सम्पर्क अधिकारी/सूचना अधिकारी
2. सहायक लोक सम्पर्क अधिकारी
3. प्रचार सहायक, ग्रेड-I
4. प्रचार सहायक, ग्रेड-II
5. चालक

आदेश द्वारा,  
हस्ताक्षरित/-  
मुख्य सचिव (सूचना एवं जन सम्पर्क)।

-----

*[Authoritative English text of this Department Notification No. Pub-B(2)-1/98-II, dated 11-08-2017 as required under clause (3) of Article 348 of the Constitution of India].*

## INFORMATION & PUBLIC RELATIONS DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

*Shimla-2, the 11th August, 2017*

**No. Pub-B(2)-1/98-II.**—In exercise of powers conferred under proviso to Section 34 of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 (49 of 2016), the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, in consultation with the Commissioner (Disabilities), is pleased to exempt the following posts from 4% reservation to persons with benchmark disabilities in the Information & Public Relations Department, namely:—

1. District Public Relations Officer/Information Officer
2. Assistant Public Relations Officer
3. Publicity Assistant Grade-I
4. Publicity Assistant Grade-II
5. Driver

By order,  
V.C. PHARKA,  
Chief Secretary (I&PR).

-----

सूचना एवं जन सम्पर्क विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला-171002, 11 अगस्त, 2017

**संख्या: पब-बी(2)-1/98-II.**—हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, निःशक्त व्यक्ति अधिकार अधिनियम, 2016 (2016 का 49) की धारा 33 के अधीन प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, सूचना एवं जन सम्पर्क

विभाग में, निम्नलिखित पदों को, चिन्हित (बेन्च मार्क) निःशक्तताओं वाले व्यक्तियों के लिए चार प्रतिशत आरक्षण के लिए निम्न रूप से चिन्हित करते हैं; अर्थात: —

क्रम संख्या	पद का नाम	चिन्हित (बेन्च मार्क) निःशक्तताओं वाले व्यक्तियों के प्रवर्ग जिनके लिए पद आरक्षित हैं
1.	लिपिक	क. अन्धता और क्षीण दृष्टि; ख. बहरा और ऊंचा सुनने वाला; ग. मस्तिष्कीय लकवा, कुष्ठ रोग मुक्त, बौनापन, तेजाब हमले के पीड़ित और मांसपेशीय कुपोषण विकार सहित चलन निःशक्तता; घ. बहरे-अन्धों सहित खण्ड (क) से (ग) के अधीन व्यक्तियों में से प्रत्येक निःशक्तता के लिए चिन्हित पदों के लिए बहु निःशक्तताएं।
2.	सेवादार	क. अन्धता और क्षीण दृष्टि; ख. बहरा और ऊंचा सुनने वाला; ग. मस्तिष्कीय लकवा, कुष्ठ रोग मुक्त, बौनापन, तेजाब हमले के पीड़ित और मांसपेशीय कुपोषण विकार सहित चलन निःशक्तता; घ. बहरे-अन्धों सहित खण्ड (क) से (ग) के अधीन व्यक्तियों में से प्रत्येक निःशक्तता के लिए चिन्हित पदों के लिए बहु निःशक्तताएं।

आदेश द्वारा,  
हस्ताक्षरित /—  
मुख्य सचिव (सूचना एवं जन सम्पर्क)।

*[Authoritative English text of this Department Notification No. Pub-B(2)-1/98-II, dated 11-08-2017 as required under clause (3) of Article 348 of the Constitution of India].*

## INFORMATION & PUBLIC RELATIONS DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

*Shimla-2, the 11th August, 2017*

**No. Pub-B(2)-1/98-II.**—In exercise of powers conferred under Section 33 of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 (49 of 2016), the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to identify the following posts for 4% reservation to persons with benchmark disabilities in the Information & Public Relations Department, namely;—

Sl. No.	Name of Post	Categories of persons with benchmark disabilities for which post is reserved
1.	Clerk	a. Blindness and low vision; b. Deaf and hard of hearing; c. Locomotor disability including cerebral palsy, leprosy cured, dwarfism, acid attack victims and muscular dystrophy; d. Multiple disabilities from amongst persons under clauses (a) to (c) including deaf-blindness in the posts identified for each disability.

- |    |      |  |
|----|------|--|
| 2. | Peon | a. Blindness and low vision;<br>b. Deaf and hard of hearing;<br>c. Locomotor disability including cerebral palsy, leprosy cured, dwarfism, acid attack victims and muscular dystrophy;<br>d. Multiple disabilities from amongst persons under clauses (a) to (c) including deaf-blindness in the posts identified for each disability. |
|----|------|--|

By order,  
V.C. PHARKA,  
Chief Secretary (I&PR).

## LOCAL AUDIT DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

*Shimla, the 14th August, 2017*

**No. 1-315/76-Fin (LA)Vol-10-5000.**—On the recommendation of the Departmental Promotion Committee, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to promote Smt. Mamta Rawal, Junior Auditor (Class-III-Non-Gazetted) presently working in the pay band of Rs. 10300-34800+3800 Grade Pay to the post of Section Officer (Class-II-Gazetted) in the pay band of Rs. 10300-34800 + 5000 Grade Pay on regular basis with immediate effect.

Consequent upon the above promotion Smt. Mamta Rawal will remain posted at Resident Audit Scheme, APMC, Solan against vacant post.

Smt. Mamta Rawal may submit her option for fixation of pay under Rule FR- 22(1)(a)(i) within one month from the date of issue of this notification and will remain on probation for a period of two years.

By order,  
Sd/-  
Additional Chief Secretary (Finance).

सामान्य प्रशासन विभाग  
(ख-अनुभाग)

अधिसूचना

शिमला-2, 11 अगस्त, 2017

**संख्या: जीएडी-बी-(ए) 1-2/2013-III (शिमला).**—हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल की यह राय है कि लोकहित में ऐसा करना आवश्यक और समीचीन है कि वर्तमान उप-तहसील ननखड़ी, जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश का दर्जा बढ़ाकर तहसील का कर दिया जाए, जिसका मुख्यालय ननखड़ी, जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश में होगा, ताकि नजदीक के गांवों के सम्बद्ध लोगों को बेहतर सेवाएं उपलब्ध करवाई जा सकें और राजस्व से सम्बन्धित कार्य में उनको होने वाली असुविधा से निवारित किया जा सके तथा बेहतर प्रशासनिक नियन्त्रण हो सके।

अतः हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश भू-राजस्व अधिनियम, 1954 (1954 का अधिनियम संख्यांक 6) की धारा 6 और रजिस्ट्रीकरण अधिनियम, 1908 (1908 का अधिनियम संख्यांक 16) की धारा 5 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उप-तहसील, ननखड़ी, जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश का दर्जा बढ़ाकर तुरन्त प्रभाव से इस अधिसूचना के पांचवें स्तम्भ में दर्शित 15 पटवार वृत्तों से गठित तहसील का करते हैं:-

तहसील 1	उप-मण्डल 2	जिला 3	मुख्यालय 4	पटवार वृत्त 5
ननखड़ी	रामपुर	शिमला	ननखड़ी	1. शोली 2. थाना-ननखड़ी 3. पूनन 4. जाहू 5. खून्नी पनोली 6. खड़ाहन 7. बगलती 8. जतराल 9. देलठ 10. लैलन 11. बड़ाच 12. कलमोग-दारण 13. मझौली 14. खमाडी 15. थैली-चखटी

उप-तहसील ननखड़ी का दर्जा बढ़ाकर तहसील का करने के फलस्वरूप रामपुर तहसील की संरचना निम्न प्रकार से होगी :-

तहसील 1	उप-मण्डल 2	जिला 3	तहसील में सम्मिलित पटवार वृत्तों के नाम 4
रामपुर	रामपुर	शिमला	1. चण्डी-ब्रांडा 2. क्याओ 3. लवाना-सदाना 4. गानवी 5. सरपारा 6. दोफदा 7. मझेवली 8. गोपालपुर 9. धार गौरा 10. झाकड़ी 11. रचोली 12. रामपुर (शहर) 13. शिगला 14. डनसा

15. लालसा
16. धार
17. कुमसू
18. दत्तनगर
19. निरथ
20. दवाडा
21. मझेवटी

आदेश द्वारा,  
वी० सी० फारका,  
मुख्य सचिव।

*[Authoritative English text of this Department Notification No. GAD-B(A)-1-2/2013-III (Shimla) dated 11-8-2017 as required under clause(3) of Article 348 of the Constitution of India].*

**GENERAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT  
(B-Section)**

NOTIFICATION

*Shimla-2, the 11th August, 2017*

**No. GAD-B(A)-1-2/2013-III (Shimla).**—WHEREAS, the Governor of Himachal Pradesh is of the opinion that it is necessary and expedient in public interest to do so that the present Sub-Tehsil Nankhari, District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh may be upgraded to that of Tehsil with its Headquarter at Nankhari, District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh, so as to provide better services to the concerned people of nearby villages and to avoid inconvenience faced by them pertaining to revenue work and to have better administrative control.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the Himachal Pradesh Land Revenue Act, 1954 (Act No. 6 of 1954) and section 5 of the Registration Act, 1908 (Act No. 16 of 1908), the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to upgrade the Sub-Tehsil Nankhari, District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh to that of Tehsil consisting of 15 Patwar Circles shown in the 5th column of this notification, with immediate effect :—

Tehsil 1	Sub-Division 2	District 3	Headquarter 4	Patwar Circles 5
Nankhari	Rampur	Shimla	Nankhari	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Sholi</li> <li>2. Thana-Nankhari</li> <li>3. Punan</li> <li>4. Jahoo</li> <li>5. Khuni Panoli</li> <li>6. Kharahan</li> <li>7. Bagalti</li> <li>8. Jatral</li> <li>9. Delath</li> </ol>

10. Lelan
11. Barach
12. Kalmog-Daran
13. Majholi
14. Khamadi
15. Thaili Chakhati

On the up-gradation of Sub-Tehsil Nankhari to that of Tehsil, the composition of Tehsil Rampur will be as under:—

Tehsil 1	Sub-Division 2	District 3	Name of Patwar Circles included in the Tehsil 4
Rampur	Rampur	Shimla	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Chandi Branda</li> <li>2. Kyao</li> <li>3. Labana Sadana</li> <li>4. Ganvi</li> <li>5. Sarpara</li> <li>6. Dofda</li> <li>7. Majhewali</li> <li>8. Gopalpur</li> <li>9. Dhar Goura</li> <li>10. Jhakri</li> <li>11. Racholi</li> <li>12. Rampur (Town)</li> <li>13. Shingla</li> <li>14. Dansa</li> <li>15. Lalsa</li> <li>16. Dhar</li> <li>17. Kumsu</li> <li>18. Duttnagar</li> <li>19. Nirath</li> <li>20. Dawara</li> <li>21. Majhewati</li> </ol>

By order,  
V. C. PHARKA,  
Chief Secretary.

## LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

*Shimla-171 001, the 18th April, 2016*

**No.11-23/84(Lab)ID/2016/Mandi.**—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Umesh Kumar s/o Shri Devinder Kumar, r/o V.P.O. Galma, Tehsil Balh,

District Mandi, H.P. and (i) the Managing Director, the H.P. State Co-operative Milk Producers' Federation Limited, Totu, District Shimla, H.P., (ii) the Manager (Production), H.P. State Co-operative Milk Producers' Federation Limited, Mandi Unit at Chakkar, P.O. Gutkar, District Mandi, H.P. (Principal Employer), (iii) Shri Bhupesh Janartha, M/s Shimla Cleanways & Security Services, Aditya Lodge, Sanjauli, Shimla (Contractor) on the issue of alleged termination from services *w.e.f.* 01-09-2013.

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub-section 1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section 7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether termination of the services of Shri Umesh Kumar s/o Shri Devinder Kumar r/o V.P.O. Galma, Tehsil Balh, District Mandi, H.P. *w.e.f.* 01-09-2013 by (i) the Managing Director, the H.P. State Co-operative Milk Producers' Federation Limited, Totu, District Shimla, H.P., (ii) the Manager (Production), H.P. State Co-operative Milk Producers' Federation Limited, Mandi Unit at Chakkar, P.O. Gutkar, District Mandi, H.P. (Principal Employer), (iii) Shri Bhupesh Janartha, M/s Shimla Cleanways & Security Services, Aditya Lodge, Sanjauli, Shimla (Contractor), without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employers?”

Sd/-  
Deputy Labour Commissioner,  
Himachal Pradesh.

## LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

*Shimla-171001, the 28th April, 2016*

**No.11-2/86(Lab)ID/2016/Kangra.**—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Vikas s/o Late Shri Ved Prakash, r/o V.P.O. Samirpur, Tehsil & District Kangra, H.P. and the Incharge, Regional Mountaineering Centre Mcleodganj, Tehsil Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. on the issue of alleged termination from services during November, 2013.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.



Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub-section 1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section 7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether termination of the services of Shri Vikas s/o Late Shri Ved Prakash, r/o V.P.O. Samirpur, Tehsil & District Kangra, H.P. during November, 2013 by the Incharge, Regional Mountaineering Centre Mcleodganj, Tehsil Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?”

Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,  
Himachal Pradesh.

## LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

*Shimla-171001, the 5th February, 2016*

**No. 11-1/7(Lab) ID/2016/Nurpur.**—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Ajay Sharma s/o Shri Chuhru Ram Sharma, r/o Village Jangal, P.O. Bagga, Tehsil Jawali, District Kangra, H.P., (i) the Sub-Divisional Soil Conservation Officer, Fatehpur, District Kangra, H.P. (Principal Employer), (ii) the President, Farm Technocrats' Forum Palampur, District Kangra, H.P. (placement agency) on the issue of alleged termination of his services during November, 2014.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section 5 of the Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub-section 1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section 7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether termination of the services of Shri Ajay Sharma, s/o Shri Chuhru Ram Sharma, r/o Village Jangal, P.O. Bagga, Tehsil Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. during November, 2014 by (i) the Sub-Divisional Soil Conservation Officer, Fatehpur, District Kangra, H.P. (Principal Employer), (ii) the President, Farm Technocrats' Forum Palampur, District Kangra, H.P. (placement agency), without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employers?”

Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,  
Himachal Pradesh.

**LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT****NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 12th February, 2016*

**No. 11-5/99(Lab) ID/2009/Chamba.**—Whereas the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba has submitted a report as provided under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 stating that there was an alleged industrial dispute in between Shri Amar Singh s/o Shri Parveen, r/o Village Bithal, P.O. Khargat, Tehsil Bhattiyat, District Chamba, H.P. and the Executive Engineer, Irrigation-cum-PH Divison, Dalhousie, District Chamba, H.P. as per demand notice dated nil received in the Labour Office Chamba during year, 2007 submitted by the said ex-worker regarding his termination of services.

Whereas, the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, has incorporated in the report that during the course of conciliation proceedings for the purpose of bringing about a legal and amicable settlement, all matters affecting the settlement were investigated and has made all efforts for the purpose of inducing the parties to come to legal, fair and amicable settlement of the said dispute. However, no such settlement could be arrived at in between the parties to the industrial dispute.

Whereas, undersigned while exercising the power vested as provided under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid* carefully examined the report and come to the conclusion that above ex-worker had raised the dispute of alleged illegal termination from the services *w.e.f.* 30-10-2000 before the above employer after delay of about 7 years and has worked only for 270 days, 296 days, 328 days and 165 days during the years 1997, 1998, 1999 and 2000 respectively.

Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IVLoose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as provided in sub-section 1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) and keeping in view the latest judgments of the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla about the declining the references to the Labour Court for adjudication, formed an opinion to refer this dispute to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section 7 of Act *ibid*, for legal adjudication on following issue:—

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Amar Singh s/o Shri Parveen, r/o Village Bithal, P.O. Khargat, Tehsil Bhattiyat, District Chamba, H.P. *w.e.f.* 30-11-2000 by the Executive Engineer, I.&P.H. Division, Dalhousie, District Chamba, H.P. who has worked as beldar on daily wages basis only for 270 days, 296 days, 328 days and 165 days during the years 1997, 1998, 1999 and 2000 respectively and has raised his industrial dispute demand notice dated nil received in the Labour Office Chamba during year, 2007 after delay of about 7 years, allegedly without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of working period of 270 days, 296 days, 328 days and 165 days during the years 1997, 1998, 1999 and 2000 respectively and delay of about 7 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management.

Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,  
Himachal Pradesh.*

**LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT****NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171 001, the 20th February, 2016*

**No. 11-5/99(Lab)ID/2015/Chamba.**—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Arif Khan s/o Shri Bashir Mohammad, r/o Village Dhanju, P.O. Khushnagri, Tehsil Churah, District Chamba, H.P. and (i) the Employer/Managing Director, M/s GVK Emergency Management and Research Institute, Dharampur, District Solan, H.P. (Principal Employer), (ii) the Managing Director, M/s Adecco Flexione Workforce Solutions Private Limited, C-127, Basement Level, Satguru Infotech, Phase-VIII, Industrial Area Mohali, Punjab (Contractor Company), on the issue of alleged termination of his service *w.e.f.* 27- 08-2013.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub-section 1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section 7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether termination of the services of Shri Arif Khan s/o Shri Bashir Mohammad, r/o Village Dhanju, P.O. Khushnagri, Tehsil Churah, District Chamba, H.P. *w.e.f.* 27-08-2013 by (i) the Employer/Managing Director, M/s GVK Emergency Management and Research Institute, Dharampur, District Solan, H.P. (Principal Employer), (ii) the Managing Director, M/s Adecco Flexione Workforce Solutions Private Limited, C-127, Basement Level, Satguru Infotech, Phase-VIII, Industrial Area Mohali, Punjab (Contractor Company), without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?”

Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,  
Himachal Pradesh.*

**LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT****NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 12th February, 2016*

**No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2015/Mandi.**—Whereas the Labour Officer-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Mandi Zone, Mandi has submitted a report as provided under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 stating that there was an alleged industrial dispute in between Shri Beli Ram s/o Shri Fagnu, r/o Village Bambola, P.O. Tihri, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H.P. and the Executive Engineer, I.& P.H., Division Padhar, District Mandi, H.P. as per demand notice dated nil received in the Labour Office Mandi on dated 17-09-2014 submitted by the said ex-worker regarding his termination of services.

Whereas, the Labour Officer-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, has incorporated in the report that during the course of conciliation proceedings for the purpose of bringing about a legal and amicable settlement, all matters affecting the settlement were investigated and has made all efforts for the purpose of inducing the parties to come to legal, fair and amicable settlement of the said dispute. However, no such settlement could be arrived at in between the parties to the industrial dispute.

Whereas, undersigned while exercising the power vested as provided under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid* carefully examined the report and come to the conclusion that above ex-worker had raised the dispute of alleged illegal termination from the services during August, 2000 before the above employer after delay of more than 13 years and has worked only for 14 days, 48 days and 27 days in 1998, 1999 and 2000 respectively.

Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4- 9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as provided in sub-section 1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) and keeping in view the latest judgments of the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla about the declining the references to the Labour Court for adjudication, formed an opinion to refer this dispute to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section 7 of Act *ibid*, for legal adjudication on following issue:—

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Beli Ram s/o Shri Fagnu, r/o Village Bambola, P.O. Tihri, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H.P. during August, 2000 by the Executive Engineer, I.&P.H. Division, Padhar, District Mandi, H.P., who has worked as beldar on daily wages basis only for 14 days, 48 days and 27 days in 1998, 1999 and 2000 respectively and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 13 years, allegedly without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of working period of 14 days, 48 days and 27 days in 1998, 1999 and 2000 respectively and delay of more than 13 years in raising the industrial dispute *vide* demand notice dated nil received in the Labour Office Mandi on 17-09-2014, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management? ”

Sd/-  
Deputy Labour Commissioner,  
Himachal Pradesh.

## LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

### CORRIGENDUM

*Shimla-171001, the 19th February, 2016*

**No. 11-5/99(Lab) ID/2013/Chamba.**—In partial modification of this Department's Notification of even number dated 27-02-2015, the date of termination of services of workman Shri Bhagat Ram s/o Shri Saran Dass, r/o V.P.O. Rei, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. may be *read as* “September, 2004” *instead of* “September, 2003”, which was inadvertently recorded in the said notification.

Sd/-  
Deputy Labour Commissioner,  
Himachal Pradesh.

**LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT****NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 16th February, 2016*

**No. 11-5/99(Lab) ID/2016/Chamba.**—Whereas the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba has submitted a report as provided under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 stating that there was an alleged industrial dispute in between Smt. Champa w/o Shri Sham Lal, r/o Village Chaloli, P.O. Dharwas, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. and the Executive Engineer, Killar Division, HPPWD, Killar (Pangi), District Chamba, H.P. as per demand notice dated nil received in the Labour Office Chamba on 29-05-2012 submitted by the said ex-worker regarding her termination of services.

Whereas, the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, has incorporated in the report that during the course of conciliation proceedings for the purpose of bringing about a legal and amicable settlement, all matters affecting the settlement were investigated and has made all efforts for the purpose of inducing the parties to come to legal, fair and amicable settlement of the said dispute. However, no such settlement could be arrived at in between the parties to the industrial dispute.

Whereas, undersigned while exercising the power vested as provided under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid* carefully examined the report and come to the conclusion that above exworker had raised the dispute of alleged illegal termination from the services during September, 2004 before the above employer after delay of more than 7 years and has worked only for 76, 31, 51, 136, 152, 35, 105, 57, 92, 138, 120, 100, 116 and 88 days during the years 1990, 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002 and 2003 respectively.

Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as provided in sub-section 1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) and keeping in view the latest judgments of the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla about the declining the references to the Labour Court for adjudication, formed an opinion to refer this dispute to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, for legal adjudication on following issue:—

“Whether alleged termination of services of Smt. Champa w/o Shri Sham Lal r/o Village Chaloli, P.O. Dharwas, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. during September, 2004 by the Executive Engineer, Killar Division, H.P.P.W.D., Killar (Pangi), District Chamba, H.P., who has worked as beldar on daily wages basis only for 76, 31, 51, 136, 152, 35, 105, 57, 92, 138, 120, 100, 116 and 88 days during the years 1990, 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002 and 2003 respectively and has raised her industrial dispute demand notice dated nil received in the Labour Office Chamba on 29-05-2012 after delay of more than 7 years, allegedly without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of working period stated above and delay of more than 7 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management? ”

Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,  
Himachal Pradesh.*

**LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT****NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 17th February, 2016*

**No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2016/Mandi.**—Whereas the Labour Officer-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Mandi Zone, Mandi has submitted a report as provided under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 stating that there was an alleged industrial dispute in between Shri Chander Shekhar s/o Shri Baldev Singh, r/o Village Narwalka, P.O. Kamlah Fort, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. and the Divisional Manager, H.P. State Forest Development Corporation Limited, Forest Working Division Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. as per demand notice dated 26-05-2014 submitted by the said exworker regarding his termination of services.

Whereas, the Labour Officer-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, has incorporated in the report that during the course of conciliation proceedings for the purpose of bringing about a legal and amicable settlement, all matters affecting the settlement were investigated and has made all efforts for the purpose of inducing the parties to come to legal, fair and amicable settlement of the said dispute. However, no such settlement could be arrived at in between the parties to the industrial dispute.

Whereas, undersigned while exercising the power vested as provided under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid* carefully examined the report and come to the conclusion that above ex-worker had raised the dispute of alleged illegal termination from the services *w.e.f.* 22-01-1995 before the above employer after delay of more than 18 years and has worked only from 01-05-1994 to 21-01-1995.

Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as provided in sub-section 1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) and keeping in view the latest judgments of the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla about the declining the references to the Labour Court for adjudication, formed an opinion to refer this dispute to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section 7 of Act *ibid*, for legal adjudication on following issue:—

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Chander Shekhar s/o Shri Baldev Singh, r/o Village Narwalka, P.O. Kamlah Fort, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. *w.e.f.* 22-01-1995 by the Divisional Manager, H.P. State Forest Development Corporation Limited, Forest Working Division Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P., who has worked as beldar on daily wages basis only from 01-05-1994 to 21-01-1995 and has raised his industrial dispute *vide* demand notice dated 26-05-2014 after more than 18 years, allegedly without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of working period from 01-05-1994 to 21-01-1995 and delay of more than 18 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management? ”

Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,  
Himachal Pradesh.*

**LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT****NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 17th February, 2016*

**No. 11-3/93(Lab) ID/2016/Kullu.**—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Daulat Ram s/o Late Shri Het Ram, r/o Village Tanaru, P.O. Nagwain, Sub-Tehsil Aut, District Mandi, H.P. and (i) the Registrar, Dr. Y.S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, District Solan, H.P., (ii) the Associate Director (R&E), Dr. Y.S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Regional Horticulture Research Station Bajaura, District Kullu, H.P. on the issue of alleged time to time termination of his services during year, 1996 to 17-06-2013 and finally *w.e.f.* 18-06-2013.

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section 5 of the Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub-section 1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section 7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether time to time termination of the services of Shri Daulat Ram s/o Late Shri Het Ram, r/o Village Tanaru, P.O. Nagwain, Sub-Tehsil Aut, District Mandi, H.P. during year, 1996 to 17-06-2013 and finally *w.e.f.* 18-06-2013 (as alleged by workman) by (i) the Registrar, Dr. Y.S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, District Solan, H.P., (ii) the Associate Director (R&E), Dr. Y.S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Regional Horticulture Research Station Bajaura, District Kullu, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employers?”

Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,  
Himachal Pradesh.*

**OFFICE OF THE RETURNING OFFICER  
CENTRAL COUNCIL FOR INDIAN MEDICINE ELECTION  
REGIONAL AYURVEDIC HOSPITAL, SHIMLA-171002**

**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-2, the 02<sup>nd</sup> August, 2017*

**No. CCIM/Election/HP-I/2016-100.**—As per election programme notified on 12-6-2017 after withdrawal of the nomination papers, the following candidates are in the fray for contesting the Central Council for Indian Medicine Election from the State of Himachal Pradesh:—

Sr. No.	Name and address of the candidate duly nominated to represent Ayurveda System of Medicine
1.	Dr. Ashok Kumar Sharma s/o Shri Jai Karan Dass, r/o VPO Bela, Tehsil Nadaun, Distt. Hamirpur, H.P.
2.	Dr. Dinesh Kumar, s/o Shri. Dila Ram r/o Jalpa Niwas, Mohalla Mai Ka Bagh, Tehsil and Distt. Chamba, H.P.
3.	Dr. Suneet Kumar Pathania s/o Shri Karam Singh Pathania, V&PO Rait, Tehsil Shahpur, Distt. Kangra, H.P.
4.	Dr. Virender Kaul s/o Shri Jitender Kaul, r/o Gauri Niwas, Ustehar, Baijnath, Distt. Kangra, H.P.

By order,  
(Dr. R. K. PRUTHI, I.A.S.),  
Returning Officer,  
Central Council for Indian Medicine Election,  
H.P. Shimla-171002.

-----

**In the Court of Shri Rohit Rathour, H.A.S., Marriage Officer-cum-Sub Divisional Magistrate,  
Kullu, District Kullu, H.P.**

In the matter of :

1. Ravi Raj s/o Shri Sher Singh, resident of Village Chharaihara, Post Office Mohal, Tehsil Bhunter, District Kullu, H.P.

2. Geetanjali Shashni d/o Shri Mohan Lal Shashni, resident of Village Chharaihara, Post Office Mohal, Ward No. 6, Tehsil Bhunter, District Kullu, H.P.

. . Applicants.

*Versus*

General Public

*Subject.—Proclamation for the registration of Marriage under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954.*

Ravi Raj and Geetanjali Shashni have filed an application on dated 29-07-2017 alongwith affidavits in the court of undersigned under section 16 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 that they have solemnized their marriage on 26-08-2015 and they are living as husband and wife since then, hence their marriage may be registered under Act, *ibid*.

Therefore, the general public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objection regarding this marriage can file the objection personally or writing before this court on or before 29-08-2017. The objection received after 29-08-2017 will not be entertained and marriage will be registered accordingly.



Issued today on 29-07-2017 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

ROHIT RATHOUR (HAS),  
Marriage Officer-cum-Sub Divisional Magistrate,  
Kullu, District Kullu, H.P.

ब अदालत तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, ऊना, तहसील व  
जिला ऊना (हि0 प्र0)

श्री रिंकी देवी

बनाम

आम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम जनता आम।

श्रीमती रिंकी देवी पत्नी श्री शशी पाल, निवासी वार्ड नं0 9 बहडाला, तहसील ऊना, जिला ऊना ने इस अदालत में दरखास्त दी है कि उसकी पुत्री भावना का जन्म गांव नहडाल, तहसील व जिला ऊना में दिनांक 08-08-2014 को हुआ था, परन्तु इस बारे पंचायत के रिकॉर्ड में पंजीकरण नहीं करवाया जा सका। अब पंजीकरण करने के आदेश दिए जाएं।

अतः इस नोटिस के माध्यम से सर्वसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी व्यक्ति को उपरोक्त जन्म के पंजीकरण होने बारे कोई उजर/एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 31-08-2017 को प्रातः दस बजे अधोहस्ताक्षरी के समक्ष असालतन/वकालतन हाजिर आकर पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा उपरोक्त जन्म का पंजीकरण करने के आदेश दे दिए जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 31-07-2017 को हस्ताक्षर मेरे व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—  
तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
ऊना, जिला ऊना (हि0 प्र0)।

